

Intelligent Networks: using convolutional LSTM models to estimate network traffic NOTED GRID'2021

Joanna Waczyńska

Edoardo Martelli Sofia Vallecorsa Tony Cass Edward Karavakis

July 5, 2021



July 5, 2021

### Introduction & Motivation

## 2 Traffic forecasting

- Data and models
- Results







### Section 1

## Introduction & Motivation































July 5, 2021





**Figure:** LHCOPN (Large Hadron Collider Optical Private Network) topology



**Figure:** Focusing only on **one link** – network traffic observed on the LHCOPN path between CERN and TRIUMF. Link saturation occurs in both directions.





# The goal of the project



We would like to **optimise transfers** of LHC data **eliminating network saturation** along the chosen path.



## Solution - how to avoid saturation

### Automatically

**recognise** when the link will be saturated for a long period of time, and automatically modify the configuration of network devices (SDNC) (Add extra path/link to balancing traffic).



# Ok, we know how, but when?



Let's assume that we have data representing aggregated information about all transfers between sites on long time in the network.



# **LHC** PN



are the Tier1)





We would like to predict *Y* (*output*) - Traffic from Netstat.cern.ch



July 5, 2021

GRID'2021

### Section 2

# Traffic forecasting



July 5, 2021

### Subsection 1

### Data and models





\*The figure shows data used as the test data set during modeling











July 5, 2021









## layer types

- convolution layer Conv2d (model CNN)
- LSTM layer LSTM (model LSTM)
- one convolution layer + one LSTM layer -Conv2d + LSTM (model CNN-LSTM)
- Convolutional LSTM layer ConvLSTM2D (Conv-LSTM)
- Hyperparameters:
  - were chosen based on observation and discussion with those responsible for the FTS.



### Subsection 2

Results





Prediction of instantaneous traffic

**Figure:** Effects of applying models with the best configuration on the test dataset. 1. We trained our model to predict traffic based on information about transfers from the FTS (from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1). Forecasting is based on aggregated information about transfers from last  $2\Delta$  minutes; b (batches); f(filters).





Prediction of instantaneous traffic

**Table:** Model comparison. Error = mean square error for  $\Gamma$  window.

Δ	Model	Batch - Filters\ Units	Error <sub>0</sub>
4	CNN	1 - 8	0.206
10	LSTM	128 - 64	0.025
	CNN-LSTM	128 - 64	0.021
	CONV-LSTM	1 - 8	0.036

**Figure:** Effects of applying models with the best configuration on the test dataset. 1. We trained our model to predict traffic based on information about transfers from the FTS (from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1). Forecasting is based on aggregated information about transfers from last 2 $\Delta$  minutes; b (batches); f(filters).





Prediction of future traffic

**Figure:** Effects of applying models with the best configuration on the test dataset. 1. We trained our model to predict traffic based on information about transfers from FTS (from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1). Forecasting is based on aggregated information about transfers from last  $2\Delta$  minutes; We predict the next  $\Gamma$  samples. b (batches); f(filters).







**Table:** Model comparison. Error = mean square error for  $\Gamma$  window.

Δ	Model	Batch - Filters\ Units	Error <sub>Γ</sub>
4	CNN	1 - 8	0.206
10	LSTM	128 - 64	0.185
	CNN-LSTM	128 - 64	0.188
	CONV-LSTM	1 - 8	0.125

**Figure:** Effects of applying models with the best configuration on the test dataset. 1. We trained our model to predict traffic based on information about transfers from FTS (from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1). Forecasting is based on aggregated information about transfers from last  $2\Delta$  minutes; We predict the next  $\Gamma$  samples. b (batches); f(filters).







## Section 3



• Our work shows that CNN-LSTM and Conv-LSTM architectures can indeed enable us to detect network saturation



- Our work shows that CNN-LSTM and Conv-LSTM architectures can indeed enable us to detect network saturation
- They provide great forecasting accuracy even over long time periods (up to 30 minutes) based on short history (time window).



- Our work shows that CNN-LSTM and Conv-LSTM architectures can indeed enable us to detect network saturation
- They provide great forecasting accuracy even over long time periods (up to 30 minutes) based on short history (time window).
- We consider CNN-LSTM as the best prediction for instantaneous traffic prediction



- Our work shows that CNN-LSTM and Conv-LSTM architectures can indeed enable us to detect network saturation
- They provide great forecasting accuracy even over long time periods (up to 30 minutes) based on short history (time window).
- We consider CNN-LSTM as the best prediction for instantaneous traffic prediction
- Conv-LSTM as the most suitable model to predict the end of saturation.



- Our work shows that CNN-LSTM and Conv-LSTM architectures can indeed enable us to detect network saturation
- They provide great forecasting accuracy even over long time periods (up to 30 minutes) based on short history (time window).
- We consider CNN-LSTM as the best prediction for instantaneous traffic prediction
- Conv-LSTM as the most suitable model to predict the end of saturation.
- FTS analysis helps us optimise transfers and delivers better performance for users! :-)



### Thank you for your attention!



#### Contact:

Joanna Waczyńska joanna.waczynska@gmail.com

> Edoardo Martelli edoardo.martelli@cern.ch IT-CS-CE

Sofia Vallecorsa Sofia.Vallecorsa@cern.ch IT-DI-OPL

Edward Karavakis edward.Karavakis@cern.ch IT-ST-PDS

> Tony Cass tony.cass@cern.ch IT-CS





home.cern

# supplement

















Real Traffic — Estimated Ŷ<sub>T,0</sub> — Forecasting Ŷ<sub>T</sub>



**Figure:** Effects of applying models with the best configuration on the test dataset. 1. We trained our model to predict traffic based on information about transfers from FTS (from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1). 2. Pictures present results on two data sets: from TRIUMF-SFU to Tier0/Tier1 and from Tier0/Tier1 to TRIUMF-SFU. Forecasting is based on aggregated information about transfers from last 2minutes; b (batches); f(filters). Forecasting  $\hat{Y}_{\Gamma}$  is predicted  $\Gamma$  future values for chosen t. Here  $\Gamma = 15$  (30 min).



**Table:** Comparison of model parameters on the test data set representing transfers from TRIUMF to Tier0/Tier1.  $\Gamma = 15$  (30 minutes). S is the standard deviation over 10 training repetitions.

Δ	Model	Batch - Filters\ Units	Error <sub>₩</sub>	$S(Error_\Psi)$	Error <sub>Ψ,0</sub>	$S(Error_{\Psi,0})$
4	CNN	1 - 8	0.206	0.007	0.206	0.009
	LSTM	128 - 64	0.224	0.008	0.042	0.005
	CNN-LSTM	128 - 64	0.233	0.015	0.060	0.007
	CONV-LSTM	1 - 8	0.159	0.012	0.048	0.007
10	CNN	1 - 8	0.223	0.095	0.223	0.010
	LSTM	128 - 64	0.185	0.012	0.025	0.006
	CNN-LSTM	128 - 64	0.188	0.011	0.021	0.006
	CONV-LSTM	1 - 8	0.125	0.008	0.036	0.008

- Error $\Psi, \mathbf{0}$  MSE $\Psi, \mathbf{0}(\Delta, \Gamma)$  for input window time  $\Delta$  and output window time  $\Gamma$ . We calculate the mean square error only for estimation traffic  $y_{\tau,\mathbf{0}}$  on time  $\tau$ , where  $\tau \in \Psi$
- Error  $\psi MSE_{\Psi}(\Delta, \Gamma)$  We calculate the mean square error for all estimation traffic  $y_{\tau, \gamma}$  on time  $\tau$ , where  $\tau \in \Psi$ , and  $\gamma \in [0, ..., \Gamma]$
- Ψ period when observed link (TRIUMF -> CERN) was overloaded.



# Model schemes



Figure: CNN-LSTM

Figure: Conv-LSTM



## MSE – Mean Square Error

We consider the one-step MSE and calculate an average MSE for the  $\Gamma$ -steps forecasting during N period when N samples were observed:

$$MSE = MSE_{\mathcal{N}}(\Delta, \Gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Gamma} MSE_i,$$
 (1)

where 
$$MSE_i = \frac{1}{N-\Gamma-\Delta} \sum_{t=\Delta}^{N-\Gamma} (y_{t,i} - \hat{y}_{t,i})^2$$
, for  $i \in \{0, \dots, \Gamma\}$ .

 $MSE_{\Psi,0}(\Delta, \Gamma)$  means MSE calculating during  $\Psi$  period for hyperparameters  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ . 0 index means result is calculate only for  $\hat{y}_{\tau,0}$ , where  $\tau \in \{\Delta, \cdots, N - \Gamma\}$ .



How have we chosen hyperparameters?

• Time window Γ

• Time window  $\Delta$ 



# Forecasting



**Figure:** Average MSE and its variance with respect to the forecasting steps (here:  $\Gamma = 60$ ).



# FTS details - how transfer report format look like











Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue	uccess rate (last lmin)	Throughput	EMA	Diff E	rplanation
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01710:59:352	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 GiB/s	755.59 Mi8/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 Gi8/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0 R/	ange fixed
2020-12-01710:49:152	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 M18/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01710:42:482	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0 R:	ange fixed
2020-12-01710:35:212	350	183	2443	80.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 R:	ange fixed
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.66%	871.47 MiB/s	503.33 M18/s	0 R:	ange fixed
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M1B/s	462.42 M1B/s	0 R:	ange fixed
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.66%	1014.78 MiB/s	404.31 M1B/s	0 R:	ange fixed
2020-12-01709:55:392	300	118	2798	88.66%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 M1B/s	0 R.	ange fixed
2020-12-01709:42:522	300	133	2884	88.66%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0 R.	ange fixed
2020-12-01709:35:032	300	132	2989	81.60%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0 R.	ange fixed
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	6 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01709:21:15Z	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 Mi8/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 MiB/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 Mi8/s	63.40 M18/s	0 R	ange fixed
2020-12-01709:03:45Z	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 M18/s	55.61 M18/s	0 R	ange fixed







st	Previous	1 Next Cast
		/

Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue	iccess rate (tast lmin)	Throughput	EMA	Diff Explanation	
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 GiB/s	755.59 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 GiB/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01710:35:212	350	183	2443	80.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.00%	871.47 MiB/s	503.33 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M18/s	462.42 M1B/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.00%	1014.78 MiB/s	404.31 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01709:55:392	300	118	2798	88.00%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:42:52Z	300	133	2884	88.00%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01709:35:032	300	132	2989	81.00%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:21:15Z	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 MiB/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 Mi8/s	63.40 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:03:45Z	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 M18/s	55.61 M18/s	0 Range fixed	





Timestamp	Decision	Running K	Queue	uccess rate (last lmin)	Throughput	EMA	Diff Explanation	
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 GiB/s	755.59 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 GiB/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:35:21Z	350	183	2443	80.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.00%	871.47 MiB/s	503.33 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M18/s	462.42 M1B/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.00%	1014.78 MiB/s	404.31 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:55:39Z	300	118	2798	88.00%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:42:52Z	300	133	2884	88.00%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:35:03Z	300	132	2989	81.00%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01709:21:152	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 MiB/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 Mi8/s	63.40 M18/s	0 Range fixed	
2020-12-01709:03:452	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 Mi8/s	55.61 M18/s	0 Range fixed	







Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue K Su	ccess rate (last lmin)	Throughput	ЕМА	Diff	Explanation
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 Gi8/s	755.59 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 Gi8/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	105	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:35:21Z	350	183	2443	88.00%	933.49 MiB/s	546.35 M18/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.00%	871.47 M1B/s	503.33 M18/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M1B/s	462.42 M1B/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.66%	1014.78 MiB/s	484.31 MiB/s	θ	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:55:39Z	300	118	2798	88.66%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 MiB/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01709:42:522	300	133	2884	88.66%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:35:03Z	300	132	2989	81.00%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01709:27:382	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01709:21:152	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 MiB/s	116.00 MiB/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 MiB/s	79.96 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 Mi8/s	63.40 Mi8/s	0	Range fixed
2020-12-01709:03:452	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 MiB/s	55.61 M18/s	0	Range fixed







GRID'2021













				Success rate (last				
Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue	1min)	Throughput	EMA	Diff	Explanation
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 GiB/s	755.59 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 GiB/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0 8	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 M18/s	0 8	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:35:21Z	350	183	2443	88.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.66%	871.47 MiB/s	503.33 M18/s	0 8	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M1B/s	462.42 M1B/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.66%	1014.78 M1B/s	404.31 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:55:39Z	300	118	2798	88.66%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:42:52Z	36		2884	88.66%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:35:03Z	30 NE	W BULK	2909	81.60%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:21:15Z	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 MiB/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 1	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 Mi8/s	0 8	Range fixed
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 M18/s	63.40 M18/s	0 8	Range fixed
2020-12-01709:03:45Z	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 MiB/s	55.61 M18/s	0 1	Range fixed









				Success rate (last				
Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue	lmin)	Throughput 🛧	EMA	Diff Expl	anation
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	8 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 Gi8/s	755.59 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 Gi8/s	709.42 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	186	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:35:21Z	350	183	2443	80.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.00%	871.47 MiB/s	503.33 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M18/s	462.42 M1B/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.66%	1014.78 MiB/s	404.31 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:55:39Z	300	118	2798	88.00%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 M1B/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:42:52Z	36		2884	88.66%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	8 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:35:03Z	30 NE	W BULK	2989	81.00%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:21:15Z	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 Mi8/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 MiB/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 Mi8/s	63.40 M18/s	0 Rang	e fixed
2020-12-01709:03:452	300	26	24	81.00%	110.26 M18/s	55.61 M18/s	0 Bang	e fixed









Timestamp	Decision	Running	Queue	lmin)	Throughput	EMA	Diff Explanation		
2020-12-01T11:03:54Z	350	183	2137	84.00%	1.18 GiB/s	800.38 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:59:35Z	350	183	2174	84.00%	1.14 GiB/s	755.59 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:54:59Z	350	184	2250	86.00%	1.15 Gi8/s	709.42 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:49:15Z	350	185	2312	85.00%	1.14 G18/s	657.39 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:42:48Z	350	185	2367	81.00%	1.07 G18/s	600.82 M18/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:35:21Z	350	183	2443	80.00%	933.49 M18/s	546.35 M18/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:27:34Z	350	127	2556	84.00%	871.47 M18/s	503.33 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:19:03Z	350	125	2611	82.00%	985.44 M18/s	462.42 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T10:08:17Z	300	133	2694	84.00%	1014.78 M1B/s	404.31 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:55:39Z	300	118	2798	88.00%	870.12 MiB/s	336.48 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:42:52Z	300	133	2884	88.00%	799.20 MiB/s	277.19 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:35:03Z	300	132	2989	81.00%	631.83 MiB/s	219.19 MiB/s	8 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:27:38Z	300	192	2947	100.00%	689.37 MiB/s	173.34 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:21:15Z	300	194	2929	57.00%	440.40 Mi8/s	116.00 MiB/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:15:34Z	300	195	2896	68.00%	228.99 Mi8/s	79.96 Mi8/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:09:51Z	300	17	26	81.00%	133.48 M18/s	63.40 M18/s	0 Range fixed		
2020-12-01T09:03:45Z	300	26	24	81.005	110 26 M(B/r	55.61 M18/s	0 Range fixed		
LINK WASN'T EMPTY									





home.cern